arXiv:math/0611736v1 [math.GR] 23 Nov 2006

The problem of the classification of the nilpotent class 2 torsion free groups up to the geometrically equivalence.

A. Tsurkov
Jerusalem College of Technology,
P. B. 36177, Jerusalem, 91361, Israel.
tsurkov@jct.ac.il

The Open University of Israel.

February 2, 2008

Abstract

In this paper we consider the problem of classification of the nilpotent class 2 finitely generated torsion free groups up to the geometric equivalence. By a very easy technique it is proved that this problem is equivalent to the problem of classification of the complete (in the Maltsev sense) nilpotent torsion free finite rank groups up to the isomorphism. This result, allows us to once more comprehend the complication of the problem of the classification of the quasi-varieties of nilpotent class 2 groups. It is well known that the variety of a nilpotent class s (for every $s \in \mathbb{N}$) groups is Noetherian. So the problem of the classification of the quasi-varieties generated even by a single nilpotent class 2 finitely generated torsion free group, is equivalent to the problem of classification of complete (in the Maltsev sense) nilpotent torsion free finite rank groups up to the isomorphism.

1 Historical review and methodology.

The one of the question which naturaly appears in all algebraic studies, is the question of the classification (up to isomorphism) of the algebraic objects from some class. One of the classical example of this kind of results is the classification of the semisimple finite dimensional associative algebras over fields. Also we have the very easely observed classification of the finitely generated abelian groups. Both of these classification were achieved many years ago. About 60 years ago, the classification of the simple Lie algebras over $\mathbb{C}$ was achieved. The classification of the finite simple groups, is a newer result of this kind. This result requested a huge effort of many mathematicians.

The natural next step after the classification of the finitely generated abelian groups, is the classification of the finitely generated nilpotent class 2 groups, in particular the classification of the finitely generated torsion free nilpotent class 2 groups. In the 1970s the research in this area was very active. We can remember [6], [5], auxiliary technical work [10] and others. Achievements were summarized in [4]. A full classification up to isomorphism was established only for finitely generated torsion free nilpotent class 2 groups of Hirsh length 6. Such modest results indicate the complication of the problem. This complication follows, as it will be seen from our survey, first of all, from the complication of the wild matrix problem.

Also in the 1970s, the problem of classification of the nilpotent class 2 p-groups up to isomorphism was considered in [11]. It was proved that this problem can be reduced to the wild matrix problem even when the rank of the center of the groups is equal to 2.

It is known that for every nilpotent torsion free group G there is a Maltsev completion $\sqrt{G}$ - the minimal group, such that $G \subset \sqrt{G}$ and for every $x \in \sqrt{G}$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $x^{\frac{1}{n}} \in \sqrt{G}$, such that $\left(x^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)^n = x$. The element $x^{\frac{1}{n}} \in \sqrt{G}$ is uniquely defined by $x \in \sqrt{G}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The $\sqrt{G}$ is the nilpotent group of the same class of nilpotence as G. It is clear that if two nilpotent torsion free groups are isomorphic, then their Maltsev completions are isomorphic too. The inverse, of course, is false. So the classification of the complete (in the Maltsev sense) nilpotent torsion free groups of the finite rank up to isomorphism is a simpler problem than the classification up to isomorphism of the arbitrary finitely generated nilpotent class 2 groups. But even in this simpler problem we have (see [4]) a solution, only in the case when the rank of the center of the groups is not greater than 2.

If the problem of the classification up to isomorphism is so complicated, it is natural to consider a less delicate classification. The notion of the geometric equivalence of universal algebras (see [8]), was investigated in 1995 by B. Plotkin. By [9] two finitely generated universal algebras A_1 and A_2 from some variety Θ are geometrically equivalent if and only if, the first one can be embedded into some direct power of the second and vice versa (we denote $A_1 \sim A_2$). So, the classification up to geometric equivalence is less delicate then the classification up to isomorphism.

Classification of the nilpotent groups up to geometric equivalence is especialy interesting because in the case of the nilpotent groups geometric equivalence is closely connected with the logic proprieties of groups: two nilpotent groups are geometrically equivalent if and only if, they have the same quasi-identities (see [13]). So classification of nilpotent groups, up to geometric equivalence is an equivalent to the classification of the quasi-varieties generated by a single nilpotent group.

The classification of the finitely generated abelian groups up to geometric equivalence was achieved in [3]. It was proved that two abelian groups are geometrically equivalent if and only if, for every prime number p the exponents of their corresponding p-Sylow subgroups coincide, and if one of these group is

not periodic, then the second group is not periodic either. So the classification of finitely generated abelian groups up to geometric equivalence, is in principal, simpler than the classification of these groups up to isomorphism. The classification of the torsion free abelian groups up to geometric equivalence is trivial: all these groups are geometrically equivalent.

In the case of the nilpotent class 2 groups we have a different situation. Even classification of the finitely generated torsion free nilpotent class 2 groups up to geometric equivalence is a very complicated problem.

By [13, Theorem 1] every finitely generated torsion free nilpotent class 2 group geometrically equivalent to it's Maltsev completion. So for resolving of our problem it is enough to classify up to geometric equivalence the nilpotent class 2 finite rank torsion free complete groups.

It is well known (see for example [1, Chapter 8]) that in every nilpotent Lie $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra L, we can define multiplication by Campbell-Hausdorff formula. With this multiplication L will be a group, which we denote L°. The group L° will be torsion free and complete. It has the same class of nilpotency as algebra L. Conversely for every complete nilpotent torsion free group A, there is nilpotent Lie $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra L, such that $A \cong L^\circ$. Algebra L has the some class of nilpotency as group A. The homomorphisms (epimorphisms, monomorphisms, isomorphisms) of the nilpotent Lie $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras coincide with the homomorphisms (epimorphisms, monomorphisms, isomorphisms) of the corresponding groups and vice versa. In other words, the functor $\Gamma : L \to L^\circ, (\lambda : L_1 \to L_2) \to (\lambda : L_1^\circ \to L_2^\circ)$ provides an isomorphism from the category of the nilpotent class s Lie $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras to the category of the nilpotent class s torsion free complete groups. By this isomorphism to the finite dimensional Lie $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra corresponds the nilpotent group of the finite rank and vice versa.

So two complete nilpotent torsion free finite rank groups $A_1 = L_1^\circ$ and $A_2 = L_2^0$, are isomorphic if and only if, the Lie $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras L_1 and L_2 are isomorphic. And two complete nilpotent torsion free finite rank groups $A_1 = L_1^\circ$ and $A_2 = L_2^\circ$ are geometrically equivalent if and only if, the Lie $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras L_1 and L_2 are geometrically equivalent, i.e. as it was stated above, if and only if the algebra L_1 can be embedded into some direct power of the algebra L_2 and vice versa. So for researching our problem, we can concentrate on the geometric equivalence of the finite dimension nilpotent class 2 Lie $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras. It will be proved in this paper, that the problem of classification of the finite dimension nilpotent class 2 Lie $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras up to the geometric equivalence, is equivalent to the problem of classification of these algebras up to the isomorphism. It means that the problem of the classification of the nilpotent class 2 finite rank torsion free complete groups up to the geometric equivalence, is equivalent to the problem of classification of these groups up to the isomorphism.

The problem of classification of the finite dimension nilpotent class 2 Lie algebras over an algebraic closed field up to the isomorphism was considered in [12] and [2]. In [12] this problem was resolved when the dimension of the center of the algebra is not great then 2. In [2] it was proved that the problem of classification of the finite dimension nilpotent class 2 Lie algebras over an algebraic closed field up to the isomorphism when the dimension of the center

of the algebra is great then 2 is equivalent to the wild problem.

In [3] it was proved that if $A_1 \sim A_2$ and $B_1 \sim B_2$ (A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 are arbitrary universal algebras from some variety Θ) then $A_1 \oplus B_1 \sim A_2 \oplus B_2$. So for classification up to the geometric equivalence it is enough to consider the algebras which are can not be decomposed to the direct sum. In our situation, we can consider only Lie $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras L which fulfill

$$[L, L] = Z(L), \tag{1}$$

where $Z(L)$ is a center of the algebra L.

The Lie $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra L, which fulfills this condition, can be considered as the direct sum of the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear spaces $L = V \oplus W$ (form this place and below we considered the direct sum only in the category of the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear spaces), where $W = Z(L)$, $V \cong L/Z(L)$. The Lie brackets in L, define the skew symmetric non singular bilinear mapping $\omega_L : V \times V \ni (v_1, v_2) \to [v_1, v_2] \in W$. (For arbitrary skew symmetric bilinear mapping $\omega : V \times V \to W$ we denote $\ker \omega = \{x \in V \mid \forall v \in V (\omega(x, v) = 0)\}$ and we say that ω is singular if $\ker \omega \neq \{0\}$; other skew symmetric bilinear mappings we call non singular.)

Contrariwise, if we have two $\mathbb{Q}$-linear spaces V and W and the skew symmetric bilinear mapping $\omega : V \times V \to W$, then in the direct sum $L = V \oplus W$ we can define the Lie brackets: $[v_1 + w_1, v_2 + w_2] = \omega(v_1, v_2)$ ($v_1, v_2 \in V$, $w_1, w_2 \in W$). If ω is a non singular, then $Z(L) = W$ and condition (1) is an equivalent to the condition

$$\omega(V, V) = W. \tag{2}$$

If $L = V \oplus W$ and $\dim V = n$, $\dim W = m$, $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ is a basis of V, $\{w_1, \ldots, w_m\}$ is a basis of W, then the skew symmetric bilinear mapping ω is defined by m skew symmetric matrices of the size $n \times n$: $A^{(1)}, \ldots, A^{(m)}$, such that $[v_i, v_j] = \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{i,j}^{(k)} w_k$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq n$). There is a homomorphism of the Lie algebras with condition (1) $\lambda : L = V_L \oplus W_L \to S = V_S \oplus W_S$ if and only if, there is a pair of the linear mappings (φ, ψ) such that $\varphi : V_L \to V_S$, $\psi : W_L \to W_S$ and $\omega_S(\varphi(v_1), \varphi(v_2)) = \psi \omega_L(v_1, v_2)$ for every $v_1, v_2 \in V_L$. $\lambda = \varphi \oplus \psi$ holds.

By using this approach in [13] (and by using the isomorphism from the category of the nilpotent class 2 Lie $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebras to the category of the nilpotent class 2 torsion free complete groups) the problem of the classification of the nilpotent class 2 finite rank torsion free groups, whose centers have rank no more then 2, up to the geometric equivalence was deeply researched. It was proved two theorems:

1. Theorem 3. Two nilpotent torsion free class 2 finitely generated groups G_1 and G_2 with the cyclic center are geometrically equivalent ($G_1 \sim G_2$) if and only if their Maltsev completions are isomorphic: $\sqrt{G_1} \cong \sqrt{G_2}$.

2. Theorem 4. Let G_1, G_2 two nilpotent torsion free class 2 finitely generated groups, whose centers have rank 2. Then $G_1 \sim G_2$ if and only if, or there is a nilpotent torsion free class 2 finitely generated group with the cyclic center N, such that $G_1 \sim N \sim G_2$, or $\sqrt{G_1} \cong \sqrt{G_2}$.

Also Proposition 1 and Proposition 2 from [13], which formulated by the language of the properties of the skew symmetric bilinear forms, provide us tools for finding when for two nilpotent torsion free class 2 finitely generated groups G_1 and G_2, whose centers have rank 2, fulfills the first or the second condition of the Theorem 4.

2 New results.

Bellow the word "algebra" means: nilpotent class 2 finite dimension Lie $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra.

Definition 1 *We say that the algebra is geometrically decomposable if it is geometrically equivalent to the direct product of some of its nontrivial subalgebras. Other algebras we call geometrically indecomposable.*

Proposition 1 *If two geometrically indecomposable algebras are geometrically equivalent, then they are isomorphic.*

Proof. We assume that L and S are geometrically indecomposable and L geometrically equivalent to S. There is a family of homomorphisms $\{\lambda_i : L \to S \mid i \in I\}$ such that $\bigcap\limits_{i\in I} \ker \lambda_i = \{0\}$. Also exists a family of homomorphisms $\{\sigma_j : S \to L \mid j \in J\}$ such that $\bigcap\limits_{j\in J} \ker \sigma_j = \{0\}$. If $L = \{0\}$ then $S = \{0\}$ and vice versa, so we can assume that $L, S \neq \{0\}$.

We assume that $\ker \lambda_i \neq \{0\}$ for every $i \in I$. We consider the family of endomorphisms $\{\sigma_j\lambda_i : L \to L \mid j \in J, i \in I\}$. $\bigcap\limits_{\substack{j\in J\\ i\in I}} \ker \sigma_j\lambda_i = \{0\}$, so there exists an embedding $L \hookrightarrow \prod\limits_{\substack{j\in J\\ i\in I}} \mathrm{im}\sigma_j\lambda_i$. $\ker \sigma_j\lambda_i \supset \ker \lambda_i \neq \{0\}$, so, by reason of dimensions, $\mathrm{im}\sigma_j\lambda_i$ is not equal to L. We have that L is geometrically equivalent to $\prod\limits_{\substack{j\in J\\ i\in I}} \mathrm{im}\sigma_j\lambda_i$. If $L \neq \{0\}$ then there is a nonzero factor in this product. This is a contradiction.

By symmetry we achieve a contradiction when we assume that $\ker \sigma_j \neq \{0\}$ for every $j \in J$.

So there exist $i \in I$, such that $\ker \lambda_i = \{0\}$ and $j \in J$, such that $\ker \sigma_j = \{0\}$. By reason of dimensions, L and S are isomorphic. ■

Proposition 2 *If the algebra $L = V \oplus W$ is geometrically decomposable then there exists a family of linear mappings $\{\psi_i : W \to W \mid i \in I\}$ such that all skew symmetric bilinear mappings $\psi_i\omega$ are singular and $\bigcap\limits_{i\in I} \ker \psi_i = \{0\}$ ($\omega : V \times V \to W$ is a skew symmetric bilinear mapping defined by the Lie brackets of the algebra L).*

Proof. Let the algebra L be geometrically decomposable. Then L is geometrically equivalent to $\prod\limits_{i\in I_0} L_i$, where L_i $(i \in I_0)$ is nontrivial subalgebras of L. So there exists an embedding $L \hookrightarrow \left(\prod\limits_{i\in I_0} L_i\right)^J$. But we can write $\left(\prod\limits_{i\in I_0} L_i\right)^J = \prod\limits_{i\in I} L_i$,where $I = I_0 \times J$ and L_i $(i \in I)$ is also nontrivial subalgebras of L. By Remak theorem there exists a family of endomorphisms $\left\{\widetilde{\lambda}_i : L \to L_i \mid i \in I\right\}$, such that $\bigcap\limits_{i\in I} \ker \widetilde{\lambda}_i = \{0\}$. Denote $\iota_i : L_i \hookrightarrow L$ the embedding and $\lambda_i = \iota_i\widetilde{\lambda}_i$. We have $\lambda_i : L \to L$ and $\bigcap\limits_{i\in I} \ker \lambda_i = \{0\}$. $\mathrm{im}\lambda_i \leq L_i$, L_i is a nontrivial subgroup of L, so $\dim \mathrm{im}\lambda_i < \dim L$ for every $i \in I$. By reason of dimension, $\ker \lambda_i \neq \{0\}$ for every $i \in I$.

Let $\lambda_i = \varphi_i \oplus \psi_i$, where $\varphi_i : V \to V$, $\psi_i : W \to W$. If $\ker \psi_i = \{0\}$ then $\ker \lambda_i = \{0\}$ (the intersection of a nontrivial normal subgroup of the nilpotent group with the center of group is nontrivial - [7, 16.2.5], similar theorem for nilpotent class Lie algebras can be easy proved). If $\ker \varphi_i = \{0\}$ then $\dim \psi_i(W) = \dim \psi_i\omega(V,V) = \dim \omega(\varphi_i(V), \varphi_i(V)) = \dim \omega(V,V) = \dim W$. Hence $\ker \psi_i = \{0\}$. It is a contradiction. So, if $\ker \lambda_i \neq \{0\}$, then $\ker \psi_i \neq \{0\}$ and $\ker \varphi_i \neq \{0\}$. If $x \in \ker \varphi_i$, then for every $v \in V$ we have $\psi_i\omega(x,v) = \omega(\varphi_i(x), \varphi_i(v)) = 0$. So $x \in \ker \psi_i\omega$, i.e., skew symmetric bilinear mapping $\psi_i\omega$ is singular. $\bigcap\limits_{i\in I} \ker \psi_i \subset \bigcap\limits_{i\in I} \ker \lambda_i = \{0\}$. ■

Now for every algebra L we will construct a specific geometrically indecomposable algebra $E(L)$, such that $L \subset E(L)$. Let $L = V \oplus W$, $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ be a basis of V, $\{w_1, \ldots, w_m\}$ be a basis of W. Then, we construct the $E(L)$ this way: $Z(E(L)) = W \oplus T$, where $T = \mathrm{Sp}\{t\}$ is 1-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-linear spaces, $E(L)/Z(E(L)) \cong U \oplus V$, where U is a n-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-linear spaces with the basis $\{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$. If the skew symmetric bilinear mapping ω_L is defined by m skew symmetric matrices of the size $n \times n$:

$$A^{(1)}, \ldots, A^{(m)}$$

then the skew symmetric bilinear mapping $\omega_{E(L)}$ define by $m+1$ skew symmetric matrices of the size $2n \times 2n$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}, \ldots, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A^{(m)} \end{pmatrix}$$

i.e.

$$[u_i, u_j] = 0, [u_i, v_j] = -[v_j, u_i] = \delta_{ij}t \ (1 \leq i, j \leq n). \tag{3}$$

Proposition 3 *For every algebra L algebra $E(L)$ is geometrically indecomposable.*

Proof. Let $\omega = \omega_{E(L)} : (U \oplus V) \times (U \oplus V) \to W \oplus T$. We assume that $\psi : W \oplus T \to W \oplus T$ is a linear mapping such that $\psi(t) = z \neq 0$ and $x \in \ker \psi\omega$.

Denote $x = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} x_i u_i + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} x_{n+i} v_i$. $\psi\omega(x, u_j) = \psi(-x_{n+j}t) = -x_{n+j}z = 0$, so $x_{n+j} = 0$ for every $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Hence $x = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} x_i u_i$ and $\psi\omega(x, v_j) = x_j z = 0$, so $x_j = 0$ for every $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Therefore $x = 0$ and $\ker \psi\omega = 0$. So for every linear mapping $\psi : W \oplus T \to W \oplus T$, for which we have $\ker \psi\omega \neq 0$, we also have $\psi(t) = 0$. By Proposition 2 $E(L)$ is geometrically indecomposable. ■

$U \oplus T = H$ is an ideal of the algebra $E(L)$, $\dim(H \cap Z(E(L))) = 1$, $\dim H/(H \cap Z(E(L))) = n$, $E(L)/H \cong V \oplus W \cong L$.

Theorem 1 *Let $L_1 = V_1 \oplus W_1$ and $L_2 = V_2 \oplus W_2$ are algebras, $\dim V_1 = \dim V_2 = n$, $\dim W_1 = \dim W_2 = m$,. Then $E(L_1) \cong E(L_2)$, if and only if $L_1 \cong L_2$.*

Proof. We denote $E(L_i) = H_i \oplus L_i$, $H_i = U_i \oplus T_i$, $T_i = \mathrm{Sp}\left\{t^{(i)}\right\}$, $\left\{v_1^{(i)}, \ldots, v_n^{(i)}\right\}$ - basis of V_i, $\left\{u_1^{(i)}, \ldots, u_n^{(i)}\right\}$ - basis of U_i $(i = 1, 2)$.

We assume that there is an isomorphism of algebras Lie $\alpha : E(L_1) \to E(L_2)$. $\alpha(H_1)$ is an ideal of the algebra $E(L_2)$. $\dim(H_1 \cap Z(E(L_1))) = 1$, so $\dim(\alpha(H_1) \cap Z(E(L_2))) = 1$; $H_1 \nsubseteq Z(E(L_1))$ so $\alpha(H_1) \nsubseteq Z(E(L_2))$. First of all, we shall prove that $\alpha(H_1) \subset U_2 \oplus Z(E(L_2))$. Let $l = u + v + z \in \alpha(H_1)$ $(u \in U_2, v \in V_2, z \in Z(E(L_2)))$. If $v \neq 0$, then $v = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} b_i v_i^{(2)}$, where $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in \mathbb{Q}$, and exists $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $b_j \neq 0$. Then $\left[l, u_j^{(2)}\right] = -b_j t^{(2)}$ by (3) and $T_2 \subset \alpha(H_1)$. Also there exists $v_0 \in V$, such that $[v, v_0] \in W \setminus \{0\}$, because the skew symmetric bilinear mapping ω_{L_2} is a non singular. Therefore $[l, v_0] = [u, v_0] + [v, v_0] \notin T_2$ and $\dim(\alpha(H_1) \cap Z(E(L))) > 1$. By this contradiction we have that $v = 0$ and $\alpha(H_1) \subset U_2 \oplus Z(E(L_2))$.

$\alpha(H_1) \nsubseteq Z(E(L_2))$ so there exists $l = u + z \in \alpha(H_1)$ $(u \in U_2 \setminus \{0\}$, $z \in Z(E(L_2)))$. Because $u \neq 0$, we have as above that there is $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\left[l, v_j^{(2)}\right] \in T_2 \setminus \{0\}$. But $\dim(\alpha(H_1) \cap Z(E(L_2))) = 1$, so $\alpha(H_1) \cap Z(E(L_2)) = T_2$.

It is clear that $(U_2 \oplus Z(E(L_2))) \cap L_2 = (U_2 \oplus W_2 \oplus T_2) \cap (V_2 \oplus W_2) = W_2$, so $\alpha(H_1) \cap L_2 \subset W_2 \subset Z(E(L_2))$ and $\alpha(H_1) \cap L_2 \subset W_2 \cap Z(E(L_2)) \cap \alpha(H_1) = W_2 \cap T_2 = \{0\}$. By arguments of dimensions we have $E(L_2) = \alpha(H_1) \oplus L_2$ and, because $\alpha(H_1)$ is an ideal the linear mapping $E(L_2)/\alpha(H_1) \to L_2$ is an isomorphism of algebras. So we have that $L_1 \cong E(L_1)/H_1 \cong \alpha(E(L_1))/\alpha(H_1) \cong E(L_2)/\alpha(H_1) \cong L_2$.

Let $\lambda = \varphi \oplus \psi : L_1 = V_1 \oplus W_1 \to L_2 = V_2 \oplus W_2$ is an isomorphism of algebras. The linear mapping φ is a bijection, so in the referred above bases of V_1 and V_2 it is presented by the invertible matrix $F = (f_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{n} \in GL_n(\mathbb{Q})$. We take the matrix $G = (g_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{n} = \left(F^{-1}\right)^t$ and by this matrix and by referred above bases of U_1 and U_2 define the linear mapping $\gamma : U_1 \to U_2$. Also, we define the linear mapping $\tau : T_1 \ni t^{(1)} \to t^{(2)} \in T_2$. We will prove that the linear

mapping $\gamma \oplus \varphi \oplus \tau \oplus \psi : E(L_1) = U_1 \oplus V_1 \oplus T_1 \oplus W_1 \to U_2 \oplus V_2 \oplus T_2 \oplus W_2 = E(L_2)$ is an isomorphism of algebras. This mapping is a bijection, so it is necessary to prove that for every $u', u'' \in U_1$ and every $v', v'' \in V_1$ that the $(\tau \oplus \psi)[u' + v', u'' + v''] = [(\gamma \oplus \varphi)(u' + v'), (\gamma \oplus \varphi)(u'' + v'')]$ fulfills. But it is enough to prove this, for basis elements of $U_1 \oplus V_1$. For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ we have $\left[\varphi\left(v_i^{(1)}\right), \varphi\left(v_j^{(1)}\right)\right] = \psi\left[v_i^{(1)}, v_j^{(1)}\right]$, because $\varphi \oplus \psi$ is an isomorphism of algebras, $\left[\gamma\left(u_i^{(1)}\right), \varphi\left(v_j^{(1)}\right)\right] = \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} g_{ki} u_k^{(2)}, \sum_{s=1}^{n} f_{sj} v_s^{(2)}\right] = \sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} g_{ki} f_{sj} \delta_{ks} t^{(2)} = \delta_{ij} t^{(2)} = \tau\left[u_i^{(1)}, v_j^{(1)}\right]$ by (3), and $\left[\gamma\left(u_i^{(1)}\right), \gamma\left(u_j^{(1)}\right)\right] = 0 = \tau\left[u_i^{(1)}, u_j^{(1)}\right]$, because $\gamma\left(u_i^{(1)}\right), \gamma\left(u_j^{(1)}\right) \in U_2$. So $E(L_1) \cong E(L_2)$. ■

So if one can resolve the problem of the classification of the nilpotent class 2 finite dimension nilpotent class 2 Lie $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras up to geometric equivalence, then (by Proposition 1 and Proposition 3) he can classify up to isomorphism the algebras $E(L)$, where L is an arbitrary Lie nilpotent class 2 finite dimension $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra ($\dim Z((E(L))) = \dim Z(L) + 1$) and by Theorem 1 he can classified up to isomorphism all nilpotent class 2 Lie finite dimension $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras and all nilpotent class 2 finite rank torsion free complete groups.

3 Acknowledgements.

This research was motivated by Prof. B. Plotkin. I would like to express my gratitude to him and to Prof. S. Margolis for their constant attention to this work. Conversations with Prof. E. Rips, Prof. Z. Sela, Prof. E. Hrushovski, Prof. A. Mann and Dr. E. Plotkin were very useful. After discussions with Prof. D. Kazhdan and Prof. Yu. Drozd, I paid my attention to the researches of Prof. V. Sergeichuk and his collaborators ([11], [12], [2]). The debates about this problem with Dr. R. Lipyanski led to the major break in this research, and I would like to express my sincere gratitude. I appreciate all the authors of the paper [2], which was very contributory to this research.

References

[1] Ju. Bahturin, *Identities in Lie algebras,* (Russian), Nauka, Moscow, 1985.

[2] G. Belitskii, R. Lipyanski, V. Sergeichuk, *Problems of classifying associative or Lie algebras and triples of symmetric or skew-symmetric matrices are wild.*

[3] A. Berzins *Geometrical equivalence of algebras,* International Journal of Algebra and Computations, **11:4** (2001), pp. 447 – 456.

[4] F. Grunewald, D. Segal, *Reflections on the classification of torsion free nilpotent groups,* Group theory, essays for Philip Hall, edited by K.W. Gruenberg and J.E. Roseblade, Academic Press, 1984, pp. 121 - 158.

[5] F. Grunewald, D. Segal, L. Sterling, *Nilpotent groups of Hirsh length six,* Mathematische Zeitschrift, **179**, (1982), pp. 219-235.

[6] F. Grunewald, R. Scharlau, *A note of finite generated torsion-free nilpotent groups of class 2,* Journal of Algebra, **58** (1979), pp. 162-175

[7] M. Kargapolov, Ju. Merzljakov *Fundamentals of the Theory of Groups,* Springer Verlag, New York, 1979.

[8] B. Plotkin *Algebraic logic, varieties of algebras and algebraic varieties,* Proc. Int. Alg. Conf., St. Petersburg, 1995, Walter Gruyter, New York, London, (1999), pp. 189 – 271.

[9] B. Plotkin *Varieties of algebras and algebraic varieties. Categories of algebraic varieties,* Siberian Advances in Mathematics, **7(2)**, (1997), pp.64 – 97.

[10] R. Scharlau, *Paare alternierender Formen,* Mathematische Zeitschrift, **147**, (1976), pp. 13-19.

[11] V. Sergeichuk, *Classification of metabelian p-groups,* in: Matrix problems, Inst. Mat. Ukrain. Akad. Nauk, Kiev, 1977, pp. 150-161 (in Russian); MR 58 #11109.

[12] V. Sergeichuk, *Classification problems for systems of forms and linear mappings,* Math. USSR, Izvestiya, **31(3)**, (1988), pp. 481–501.

[13] A. Tsurkov. *Geometrical equivalence of nilpotent torsion free groups.* http://arxiv.org/abs/math.GR/0411313